

Wagner's Attack on a Secure CRT-RSA Algorithm Reconsidered

FDTC 2006

Johannes Blömer

Paderborn University

Institute for Computer Science

Paderborn, Germany

Martin Otto

Siemens AG

Corporate Technology CT IC3

Munich, Germany

Content

- The "Secure CRT-RSA Algorithm"
- Wagner's Attack (random faults)
- Flaw
- Wagner's Attack (bit and byte version)
- Outlook: fixing the algorithm
- Conclusion

The BOS-Algorithm

$$S_p := m^{d \bmod \varphi(p \cdot t_1)} \bmod p \cdot t_1$$

$$S_q := m^{d \bmod \varphi(q \cdot t_2)} \bmod q \cdot t_2$$

$$S := \text{CRT}(S_p, S_q) \bmod N \cdot t_1 \cdot t_2$$

$$c_1 := (m - S^{e_{t_1}} + 1) \bmod t_1$$

$$c_2 := (m - S^{e_{t_2}} + 1) \bmod t_2$$

$$\text{Sig} := S^{c_1 \cdot c_2} \bmod N$$

} CRT-RSA,
 enhanced with
 two strong primes

 } error detection step
 $d \cdot e_{t_i} \equiv 1 \bmod \varphi(t_i)$

 } infective computation

- Presented at CCS 2003 by Blömer, Otto, Seifert
- Aims at protecting CRT-RSA against Bellcore-attacks

How The Protection Is Intended To Works

$$S_p := m^{d \bmod \varphi(p \cdot t_1)} \bmod p \cdot t_1$$

$$\tilde{S}_q \neq m^{d \bmod \varphi(q \cdot t_2)} \bmod q \cdot t_2$$

$$S := \text{CRT}(S_p, \tilde{S}_q) \bmod N \cdot t_1 \cdot t_2$$

$$c_1 := (m - S^{e_{t_1}} + 1) \bmod t_1$$

$$c_2 := (m - S^{e_{t_2}} + 1) \bmod t_2$$

$$Sig := S^{c_1 \cdot c_2} \bmod N$$

} CRT-RSA,
enhanced with
two strong primes

} error detection step
 $d \cdot e_{t_i} \equiv 1 \bmod \varphi(t_i)$

} infective computation

- If no error occurred: $c_1 = (m - m^{(d \cdot e_{t_1})}) + 1 \equiv 1 \bmod t_1$
- If an error occurs: $c_2 = (m - \tilde{m}) + 1 \not\equiv 1 \bmod t_2$ w.h.p.
- Final exponentiation: $Sig = S^{c_1 \cdot c_2} \bmod N$

Wagner's Attack (random fault version)

- What is a random fault?
 - $x \xrightarrow{\text{fault}} x + e(x)$, where $e(x) \in_R [-x, 2^{l(x)} - x - 1]$
- Special random fault as proposed by Wagner:
 - Faults do not change the 160 most significant bits
 - number 160 motivated by $l(t_1) = l(t_2) = 80$
- Attack aims on N in last line:

$$Sig = S^{c_1 c_2} \bmod N$$

- Attack aims at disclosing t_1 and t_2
- Fact: Knowledge of t_1 and t_2 breaks the BOS-scheme
 - For details, see the original CCS'03 paper

Wagner's Attack: Exploiting a Faulty N (1)

$$S_p := m^d \bmod \varphi(p \cdot t_1) \bmod p \cdot t_1$$

$$S_q := m^d \bmod \varphi(q \cdot t_2) \bmod q \cdot t_2$$

$$S := \text{CRT}(S_p, S_q) \bmod N \cdot t_1 \cdot t_2$$

$$c_1 := (m - S^{e_{t_1}} + 1) \bmod t_1$$

$$c_2 := (m - S^{e_{t_2}} + 1) \bmod t_2$$

$$Sig := S^{c_1 \cdot c_2} \bmod N$$

} Attack targets N

1. Gather a correct final result $Sig = m^d \bmod N$
2. Re-run on same input, attack N in last line
3. Gather a faulty final result $\widetilde{Sig} = m^d \bmod \widetilde{N}$

Wagner's Attack: Exploiting a Faulty N (2)

$$S_p := m^{d \bmod \varphi(p \cdot t_1)} \bmod p \cdot t_1$$

$$S_q := m^{d \bmod \varphi(q \cdot t_2)} \bmod q \cdot t_2$$

$$S := \text{CRT}(S_p, S_q) \bmod N \cdot t_1 \cdot t_2$$

$$c_1 := (m - S^{e_{t_1}} + 1) \bmod t_1$$

$$c_2 := (m - S^{e_{t_2}} + 1) \bmod t_2$$

$$\text{Sig} := S^{c_1 \cdot c_2} \bmod N$$

$$\left. \begin{array}{l} S = (S \bmod N) + k \cdot N, \\ \text{with } 0 \leq k < 2^{161}, \\ \text{if } l(t_1 \cdot t_2) \leq 2^{160} \end{array} \right\}$$

$$\left. \right\} \text{Attack targets } N \text{ (} c_1 \cdot c_2 = 1 \text{)}$$

$$\begin{aligned} 4. \quad \widetilde{\text{Sig}} - \text{Sig} &\equiv S - \text{Sig} \equiv S - (S - k \cdot N) \bmod \tilde{N} \\ &\equiv k \cdot (N - \tilde{N}) \bmod \tilde{N} \end{aligned}$$

$$5. \text{ Analysis shows: w.h.p. we have that } \left| \widetilde{\text{Sig}} - \text{Sig} \right| = x \cdot k$$

Wagner's Attack: Exploiting a Faulty N (3)

$$S_p := m^{d \bmod \varphi(p \cdot t_1)} \bmod p \cdot t_1$$

$$S_q := m^{d \bmod \varphi(q \cdot t_2)} \bmod q \cdot t_2$$

$$S := \text{CRT}(S_p, S_q) \bmod N \cdot t_1 \cdot t_2$$

$$c_1 := (m - S^{e_{t_1}} + 1) \bmod t_1$$

$$c_2 := (m - S^{e_{t_2}} + 1) \bmod t_2$$

$$\text{Sig} := S^{c_1 \cdot c_2} \bmod N$$

$$\left. \begin{array}{l} S = (S \bmod N) + k \cdot N, \\ \text{with } 0 \leq k < 2^{161}, \\ \text{if } l(t_1 \cdot t_2) \leq 2^{160} \end{array} \right\}$$

$$\left. \begin{array}{l} \text{Attack targets } N \text{ (} c_1 \cdot c_2 = 1 \text{)} \end{array} \right\}$$

5. Analysis shows: w.h.p. we have that $|\widetilde{\text{Sig}} - \text{Sig}| = x \cdot k$
6. Several attacks and gcd computations reveal k
7. Still, we wish to learn t_1 or $t_2 \dots$

Wagner's Attack: The Flaw

$$S_p := m^{d \bmod \varphi(p \cdot t_1)} \bmod p \cdot t_1$$

$$S_q := m^{d \bmod \varphi(q \cdot t_2)} \bmod q \cdot t_2$$

$$S := \text{CRT}(S_p, S_q) \bmod N \cdot t_1 \cdot t_2$$

$$c_1 := (m - S^{e_{t_1}} + 1) \bmod t_1$$

$$c_2 := (m - S^{e_{t_2}} + 1) \bmod t_2$$

$$\text{Sig} := S^{c_1 \cdot c_2} \bmod N$$

$$\left. \begin{array}{l} S = (S \bmod N) + k \cdot N, \\ \text{with } 0 \leq k < 2^{161}, \\ \text{if } l(t_1 \cdot t_2) \leq 2^{160} \end{array} \right\}$$

$$\left. \begin{array}{l} \text{Sig} = S \bmod N \end{array} \right\}$$

- Attack assumes that $k = (S - \text{Sig})/N = t_1 \cdot t_2$
- However, $k < t_1 \cdot t_2$, and any value in $\mathbb{Z}_{t_1 t_2}$ is possible
- Attack does not reveal t_1 or t_2 , it is invalid

Wagner's Attack (bit and byte fault version)

- Fault: $m \mapsto m + e(m)$,
bit fault: $e(m) = \pm 2^k$ (byte fault similar)
- Assume that m has been attacked in Line 1:

$$S_p := \tilde{m}^{d \bmod \varphi(p \cdot t_1)} \bmod p \cdot t_1$$
- Checking step: $c_1 = (m - \tilde{m}) + 1 \equiv 1 - e(m) \bmod t_1$
- Infective Step: $Sig = S^{c_1}$
- Fact: given c_1 , we have $p = \gcd(m^{c_1} - Sig^e, N)$
- Fact: for many $e(m)$, reduction mod t_1 does not happen
- Fact: all c_1 bit faults can be efficiently enumerated
- Fact: scheme can be broken easily

Enhancement For Bit/Byte Faults

$$S_p := m^{d \bmod \varphi(p \cdot t_1)} \bmod p \cdot t_1$$

$$S_q := m^{d \bmod \varphi(q \cdot t_2)} \bmod q \cdot t_2$$

$$S := \text{CRT}(S_p, S_q) \bmod N \cdot t_1 \cdot t_2$$

$$c_1 := (r_1 \cdot (m - S^{e_{t_1}}) + 1) \bmod t_1$$

$$c_2 := (r_2 \cdot (m - S^{e_{t_2}}) + 1) \bmod t_2$$

$$\text{Sig} := S^\gamma \bmod N$$

} error detection step
introducing 2

} $\gamma = c_1 \cdot c_2$

- More general: use $\gamma = f(c_1, c_2, r)$ with r random
- Similar to Ciet, Joye at FDTTC 2005: $\gamma = \left\lfloor \frac{r \cdot c_1 + (2^{l(t_i)} - r) \cdot c_2}{2^{l(t_i)}} \right\rfloor$
- Choose r as: $r = d$ or $r = S$ or $r_1 = p, r_2 = q, \dots$?

Conclusion

- BOS-Algorithm facing random faults
 - Attack as presented at CCS'04 contains flaw
 - Scheme remains unbroken
 - Open point: Can we define (and realize) special fault models to break this algorithm?
- BOS-Algorithm facing bit and byte faults
 - Original proposal broken easily
 - We propose several ways to correct weakness (without proof)
 - Still consider such faults as hard to achieve on modern hardware