

Fault Sensitivity Analysis Against Elliptic Curve Cryptosystems

The University of Electro-Communications :
Hikaru Sakamoto, Yang Li, Kazuo Ohta, and Kazuo Sakiyama

FDTC2011 Nara Japan 2011/09/28

Contents

- ▶ Introduction
 - ▶ Fault Sensitivity Analysis
 - ▶ Fault injection technique
 - ▶ Montgomery Powering Ladder
- ▶ Proposed attack
- ▶ Experiments and results
- ▶ Difference between FSA and DPA
- ▶ Conclusion and future work

Introduction

Propose attack using Fault Sensitivity Analysis (FSA) against public key (PK) implementation

	Previous FA	FSA
AES	✓ [BA97]	✓ [LSG+10]
PK (ECC)	✓ [BMM00]	<u>New</u>

In Previous FA,

use the value of the faulty output


In FSA,

do not use the value of the faulty output

Contribution



- Successful attack against PK using FSA for the first time
- In case study, we attack against ECC in LSI on SASEBO-R

Fault Sensitivity Analysis (FSA)



Fault injection technique

By supplying an illegal clock, the setup time violation is induced to devices



Clock frequency is high ➡ Fault intensity (F) is high


Clock frequency is low ➡ Fault intensity (F) is low

Montgomery Powering Ladder (MPL)

- MPL is one of the scalar multiplication algorithm
- Point addition and doubling are performed in calculating 1 bit
 - Dummy operations do not exist in MPL

(Ex) Input : $P, d = 19 = (10011)_2$



Output : $Q (= 19P)$



Main idea of our attack

In FSA,

FS is specific information on input of calculations



Main idea of our attack (cont.)

In point doubling of MPL,

$$d_i = 0 : 2 \times P_1$$

$$d_i = 1 : 2 \times P_2$$

Templates



FS information



Distinguisher



P_1 or P_2 ?

Point doubling

Identify
the value of d_i

Template and Attack procedure

d_i	1	0	
P_1	P	$2P$...
P_2	$2P$	$3P$	


Initial Doubling: $2 \times P = 2P$

Template

Point doubling performed for the first time (Initial doubling)

Attack procedure

- Make template
- Measure attack target of point doubling
- Calculate correlation of the point doubling and the template




A key corresponding to template where correlation is larger is correct secret key


How to make template

ex) Template $2P \rightarrow 4P$

(1) Input $2P$ to device



(2) The device performs initial doubling



(3) Measure fault sensitivity (FS) information



How to identify the key bit (2nd MSB)

ex 1)

Identify point doubling

d_i	1	d_2
P_1	P	
P_2	$2P$	

(3) Measure performed point doubling

d_i	1	d_2	
P_1	P	$2P$	<u>Measure</u>
P_2	$2P$		

(1) Guess the value of d_2

① $d_2 = 0 : P \rightarrow 2P$

② $d_2 = 1 : 2P \rightarrow 4P$

(4) Identify the value of d_2

Measurement data = Template ①

$d_2 = 0$

Measurement data = Template ②

$d_2 = 1$

(2) Make templates

Initial doubling

Measure

How to identify the key bit (3rd MSB)

ex 2)

Identify point doubling

d_i	1	0	d_3
P_1	P	$2P$	
P_2	$2P$	$3P$	

(1) Guess the value of d_3

① $d_3 = 0 : 2P \rightarrow 4P$

② $d_3 = 1 : 3P \rightarrow 6P$

(2) Make templates

Initial doubling

Measure

(3) Measure performed point doubling

d_i	1	0	
P_1	P	$2P$	<u>Measure</u>
P_2	$2P$	$3P$	

(4) Identify the value of d_3

Measurement data = Template ①

$d_3 = 0$

Measurement data = Template ②

$d_3 = 1$


Case study

Case study : Attack for ECC implementation in Cryptographic LSI on SASEBO-R

- Using elliptic curve over extended binary field
- Using López-Dahab algorithm [LD99] as scalar multiplication algorithm

Cryptographic LSI

*SASEBO : Side channel Attack Standard Evaluation BOard



López-Dahab algorithm [LD99]

Point addition and doubling using
X and Z coordinates as projective coordinates

Point doubling by
López-Dahab algorithm

Input: $P1 = (X1, Z1)$.

Output: $P1 = 2P1$.

1: $t1 = X1X1$

2: $t2 = Z1Z1$

3: $Z1 = t1t2$

4: $t1 = t1t1$

5: $t2 = t2t2$

6: $t3 = bt2$

7: $X1 = t3 + t1$ ←

8: return $P1$

Measure these
steps in the attack

It is difficult to induce a fault
in modular addition over $GF(2^m)$




Experimental Technique

for (fault injection position) from (step 1) to (step 6) **do**
repeat
 while correct results are generated **do**
 increase the clock frequency;
 end while
 record the clock frequency;
until several times
 calculate average of these recorded clock frequencies
end for

Decrease measurement noise



Experimental results (2nd MSB)





Attacker can identify the secret key

Experimental results (3rd MSB)

d_i	1	0	0 or 1
P_1	P	$2P$	$4P$
P_2	$2P$	$3P$	$6P$

Point doubling of attack target
($2 \times 2P = 4P$)



By repeating this procedure, the attacker can identify all the key bits

Attack condition

The attacker must be able to

- Make any templates using initial doubling
 - Input the initial point from the outside
- Guess performed point doubling correctly


Our attack cannot work on the implementation with

- randomized input point
- randomized the secret key

Difference between FSA and DPA

FSA is a new side-channel attack using FS information

We use the FS as the side-channel leakage to identify the secret key



We expect lower measurement noise for the FS-based attack than power-based one

Conclusion and Future work

▶ Conclusion

- ▶ Successful attack for a public key implementation using FSA for the first time
 - ▶ Make templates to distinguish point doubling using initial doubling
- ▶ As a case study, we success to attack for ECC implementation in LSI on SASEBO-R

▶ Future work

We will study

- ▶ possible attacks on an implementation with randomized input point or secret key
- ▶ further differences between FSA and DPA

Measurement noise

Thank you for your attention

References

- [BA97] E. Biham, A. Shamir, “Differential Fault Analysis of Secret Key Cryptosystems.” in *Advances in Cryptology (CRYPTO '97)*, pp. 513–525. Springer, 1997.
- [BMM00] I. Biehl, B. Meyer, and V. Müller, “Differential Fault Attacks on Elliptic Curve Cryptosystems,” in *Advances in Cryptology (CRYPTO '00)*, pp. 131–146 Springer, 2000.
- [LSG+10] Y. Li, K. Sakiyama, S. Gomisawa, T. Fukunaga, J. Takahashi, and K. Ohta, “Fault Sensitivity Analysis,” *Cryptographic Hardware and Embedded Systems (CHES '10)*, pp.320–334, Springer, 2010.
- [LD99] J. López, and R. Dahab, “Fast Multiplication on Elliptic Curves over $GF(2^m)$ without Precomputation,” *Cryptographic Hardware and Embedded Systems (CHES '99)*, pp.316–327, Springer, 1999.